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What Is Stress Testing?

A risk management tool that consists of estimating the bank’s financial position over a time horizon – approximately two years – under different scenarios (typically a baseline, adverse, and severe scenario)

While there is no legal requirement for community banks to stress test, recent regulatory guidance suggests that community banks should be developing and implementing some form of stress testing and/or scenario analyses on at least an annual basis.
Community banks, regardless of size, should have the capacity to analyze the potential impact of adverse outcomes on their financial conditions...some form of stress testing or sensitivity analysis of loan portfolios on at least an annual basis to be a key part of sound risk management for community banks.

Community Bank Stress Testing: Supervisory Guidance (October 18, 2012)

Supervisory stress testing has fundamentally changed the way we think about capital adequacy. The need to specify scenarios, loss estimates, and revenue assumptions—and to apply these specifications on a dynamic basis—has immeasurably advanced the regulation of capital adequacy and, thus, the safety and soundness of our financial system. The opportunities it provides to incorporate macroprudential elements make it, in my judgment, the single most important advance in prudential regulation since the crisis.

http://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/speech/tarullo20140625a.htm
75% of bank and credit union respondents (99% of which were below $1 billion in assets) are either already implementing stress tests, have been asked to expand their stress testing or have been asked to start stress testing by examiners.

2015 Sageworks Exam Survey
Why Is It Important?

If weakness indicated, consider
M&A, capital/debt issuance, exiting/shrinking certain business lines, consider changing underwriting profile to take less credit risk and/or be compensated more for credit risk being taken (i.e., higher interest rates)

If strength indicated, consider
M&A as acquirer, special dividends, share buybacks, consider changing underwriting profile to take more credit risk
Why Is It Important?

1. Satisfy regulatory pressures / expectations
2. Enhance strategic decision making
   - Sharpens focus on the most relevant, highly-impactful decisions over the short, medium, and long-term planning horizon
3. May strengthen the case for shifting or improving risk- and/or capital-management and planning
   - If weakness indicated, consider M&A, capital/debt issuance, exiting/shrinking certain business lines, consider changing underwriting profile to take less credit risk and/or be compensated more for credit risk being taken (i.e., higher interest rates)
   - If strength indicated, consider M&A as acquirer, special dividends, share buybacks, consider changing underwriting profile to take more credit risk
4. Can be value enhancing for the bank
5. Head start on CECL (Current Expected Credit Loss) preparation
What Are the Types of Stress Testing Methods?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Top Down</td>
<td>Estimate stress loss rates under different adverse scenarios on pools of loans with common characteristics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom Up</td>
<td>Estimate loan losses for each loan, assessing the results of individual transaction level stress tests then aggregate results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>Consider the events that would “break the bank” and what contingency plans should be made to mitigate the risks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterprise-Wide</td>
<td>Analyze “multiple types of risk and their interrelated effects on the overall financial impact.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What Are the Types of Stress Testing Methods?

**Transaction Level**

*Bottom Up* analysis that looks at key loan relationships individually, assesses the potential impact of adverse economic conditions on those borrowers, and estimates loan losses for each loan.

**Portfolio Level**

Involves the determination of the potential financial impact on earnings and capital following the identification of *key portfolio concentration issues* and assessment of the impact of adverse events or economic conditions on credit quality.

This method can be applied as either:

- **Bottom Up** – Assessing the results of individual transaction level stress tests and then aggregating the results
- **Top Down** – Estimating stress loss rates under different adverse scenarios on pools of loans with common characteristics
What Are the Types of Stress Testing Methods?

**Enterprise-Wide Level**

Attempts to take risk management out of the silo and consider the enterprise-wide impact of a stress scenario by analyzing “multiple types of risk and their interrelated effects on the overall financial impact” ¹

Risks might include credit risk, counter-party credit risk, interest rate risk, and liquidity risk.

In its simplest form, enterprise-wide stress testing can entail aggregating the transaction and/or portfolio level stress testing results to consider related impacts across the firm from the stressed scenario previously considered.

**Reverse**

A specific adverse outcome is assumed that is sufficient to breach the bank’s capital ratios (often referred to as a “break the bank” scenario).

Management then considers what types of events could lead to such outcomes, how likely those conditions/events are, and what contingency plans or additional steps should be made to mitigate this risk.

# Types of Portfolio Stress Testing

## Key Steps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOP DOWN</th>
<th>BOTTOM UP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Segment Portfolio &amp; Estimate Losses</td>
<td>2. Estimate Loan Losses Incl. Stresses on Key Variables Expected Loss = Prob. of Default x Loss Severity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Estimate Impact of Scenario on Capital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Top Down Stress Testing

1. Determine Economic Scenario
2. Segment Portfolio & Estimate Losses
3. Estimate Impact of Scenario on Earnings
4. Estimate Impact of Scenario on Capital

“For most community banks, a simple stressed loss-rate analysis based on Call Report categories may provide an acceptable foundation to determine if additional analysis is necessary” -- OCC Supervisory Guidance Community Bank Stress Testing
Determine Economic Scenario

Step 1

Determining the appropriate stress event to consider is an important element of the process.

Little guidance was provided to this end for community banks.

The OCC’s guidance did note that the scenarios should include a base case and a more adverse scenario based on macro and local economic data.

Examples of adverse economic scenarios:

- A severe recession
- A downturn in the local economy and/or loss of a major employer
- Economic weakness across a particular industry for which the bank has a concentration issue
Determine Economic Scenario

Step 1

Some cues from the economic scenarios published by the Federal Reserve

**Scenarios**

Baseline, Adverse, & Severely Adverse

- **Baseline** tends to be in line with economist/market expectations at time of release
- **Adverse** tends to vary from year to year
- **Severely Adverse** tends to be recession type scenario similar to Great Recession

**Domestic Aspects**

US-based scenarios are most relevant for community banks

- 16: Domestic Economic Variables
  - 6: Real Economic Activity & Inflation
  - 6: Interest Rates
  - 4: Asset Prices
Determine Economic Scenario

Step 1

2016 Supervisory Economic Scenarios Overview
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2016 Supervisory Economic Scenarios Overview

Segment Portfolio & Estimate Loan Losses in Economic Scenarios

Step 2

Cited in OCC guidance, **segment the loans** through **Call Report categories** in Schedule RC-C

Additional segmentation may be needed

**Other assets that could decline significantly in value**, such as the investment portfolio and/or other real estate owned, may also need to be considered

Further, certain loans (or segments of loans) such as larger, **higher risk grade loans** may need to be segregated as they lend themselves to a more “**bottom up**” type of analysis (i.e., evaluated individually at “loan level” to determine their likely loss rate in a stress environment)

---

### Call Report Category Segment Examples
- Construction & Development
- Agricultural,
- Commercial Real Estate

### Additional Segmentation Examples
- Risk grade, collateral type, lien position, loan subtype, concentration risk and/or the vintage of the loan portfolio (i.e., loans primarily originated pre- or post-financial crisis)
Step 2

Once the assets have been segmented appropriately, the next step involves estimating the potential loan losses over a two-year stress test horizon (or potentially longer) for the entire loan portfolio.

OCC guidance suggests using the bank’s historical default and loss experience during prior recessions or financial stress periods as a starting point.

Beyond that, the bank may also look to outside references for ranges of loss rates for community banks during stress periods and/or certain other peer average loss rates during periods that are correlated to the scenarios considered.
Segment Portfolio & Estimate Loan Losses in Economic Scenarios

Step 2

Loan Loss Overview
Commercial Real Estate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Peer - Weighted Average</th>
<th>Subject Bank</th>
<th>Peer - 90th Percentile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>0.14%</td>
<td>0.25%</td>
<td>0.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>0.37%</td>
<td>0.40%</td>
<td>0.74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>0.62%</td>
<td>1.00%</td>
<td>1.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0.74%</td>
<td>0.90%</td>
<td>1.72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0.46%</td>
<td>0.60%</td>
<td>1.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>0.34%</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
<td>1.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>0.30%</td>
<td>0.20%</td>
<td>0.87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>0.15%</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
<td>0.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
<td>0.30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Peer Levels Calculated Using Data Per SNL Financial
Segment Portfolio & Estimate Loan Losses in Economic Scenarios

Step 2

Peer Levels Calculated Using Data Per SNL Financial
## Segment Portfolio & Estimate Loan Losses in Economic Scenarios

### Step 2

#### Figure 2: Estimating Stress Period Losses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loan Portfolio Segment</th>
<th>Baseline Scenario</th>
<th>Adverse Case</th>
<th>Severely Adverse Scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Actual Balances (SM)</td>
<td>Two-Year Stress Period Loss Rate (%)</td>
<td>Two-Year Stress Period Losses (SM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction &amp; Development</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Real Estate - Closed-End</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>0.50%</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Real Estate - HELOCs</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>1.50%</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Real Estate</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>0.60%</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifamily</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>0.50%</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial &amp; Industrial</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>1.00%</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmland</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural Production</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>0.50%</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>1.50%</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>0.25%</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Loans</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>0.25%</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>500,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.78%</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,875</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Estimate Earnings Impact of Economic Scenarios

Step 3

**Estimate pre-provision, pre-tax income** in the different economic scenarios

This can be tricky as the impact of higher non-performing assets on revenue (i.e., nonaccrual loans) and expenses (i.e., collection costs) should be considered. Further, the impact on liquidity (i.e., funding costs) and interest rate risk (i.e., net interest margin) should also be considered.

Then, **estimate the appropriate provisions** in the economic scenarios.

The provision can be broken into two components: the provision necessary to cover losses estimated in Step 2 and the portion of provision necessary to maintain an adequate allowance for loan losses (ALLL) at the end of the two-year period. When determining the portion of provision necessary to maintain an adequate ALLL at the end of the stress period, management should consider that stressed environments may increase the need for a higher ALLL.

Finally, the **income tax expense/benefit** arising from the estimate of pre-tax income should be applied.
## Figure 3. Impact of Losses on Pro Forma Earnings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Previous Two Years Actual ($M)</th>
<th>Pro Forma Baseline ($M)</th>
<th>Pro Forma Adverse ($M)</th>
<th>Pro Forma Severely Adverse ($M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPOI (Pre-Provision, Pre-Tax Income)</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>15,500</td>
<td>11,500</td>
<td>8,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Provision to Cover Two Year Losses</td>
<td>(750)</td>
<td>(3,875)</td>
<td>(7,750)</td>
<td>(11,438)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Provision to Maintain Adequate ALL</td>
<td>(250)</td>
<td>(500)</td>
<td>(750)</td>
<td>(1,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pre-Tax Income</strong></td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>11,125</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>(3,938)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income Tax (Expense) / Benefit</td>
<td>(4,900)</td>
<td>(3,894)</td>
<td>(1,050)</td>
<td>1,378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Income</strong></td>
<td>9,100</td>
<td>7,231</td>
<td>1,950</td>
<td>(2,559)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Estimate the bank’s capital ratios at the end of the stressed period.

To accomplish this, the estimated changes in equity, Tier 1 Capital, average assets, and risk-weighted assets during the stressed period should be considered.
Analyze Results

![Bar chart showing Tier 1 Leverage Ratio, Tier 1 Capital / RWA, and Total Capital / RWA for Actual, Baseline, Adverse, and Severely Adverse scenarios.](chart.png)
Analyze Results

Severely Adverse Tier 1 Waterfall

- Starting Tier 1
- PPOI
- Provision to Cover Losses
- Provision to Maintain ALLL
- Income Tax Exp./Benefit
- Growth in Assets
- Ending Tier 1 Leverage
Analyze Results

Baseline Tier 1 Waterfall

Starting Tier 1
PPOI
Provision to Cover Losses
Provision to Maintain ALLL
Income Tax Exp./Benefit
Growth in Assets
Ending Tier 1 Leverage
What Should We Do with the Results?

**Strong Bank**

Could provide adequate support to regulators and board with evidence that capital is adequate and can be utilized for a variety of activities (M&A, S/H buyback, elevated dividend)

Do we have “excess” equity and/or loan loss reserves?

**Weak Bank**

May lead to the need for requesting a more robust stress test be performed on certain loan segments

Results may provide key insight that leads to developing an action plan around filling the capital shortfall (if one is determined) or demonstrating to regulators and directors that the distressed bank’s existing capital is adequate

Should enhance the bank’s decision-making process and be incorporated into other areas of the bank’s management of risk, asset/liability strategies, capital and strategic planning

Should You Engage an Outside Vendor? If So, In What Capacity?

Well, it depends.

With expertise & experience, an outside vendor can

• assist in areas where the bank is weak
• speed up the process
• strengthen the process

You know, we’re supposedly several years out of the recession—but it doesn’t really feel that way. If something happens, it would be nice to have some knowledge that could direct your actions two years from now. It might be the cheapest money we ever spent, quite frankly.

– Will Chase, President & CEO of Triumph Bank
http://bankingjournal.aba.com/2015/10/stress-testing-feeling-the-pressure/
Mercer Capital’s Stress Testing Services

**Stress Testing & Capital Planning Toolkit**
You do it yourself using our model – with a twist

**Custom Stress Testing & Capital Planning**
Outsource the entire process to Mercer Capital

**Model Confirmation & Validation Services**
Our experts will review and validate your existing stress test model
Conclusion

Stress testing is a **growing part** of the banking regulatory lexicon, and we don’t expect this trend to wane going forward.

Stress testing should be viewed as **more than a check-the-box** regulatory exercise as it can **enhance decision making and risk management**.

Stress testing can be a **complex exercise** and banks should start to **build their expertise** in this area sooner rather than later.

The qualitative aspects/support for the test are often as important if not more important than the quantitative aspects.

There are a **variety of potential stress testing methods**, and it is important to prepare your bank’s stress test in light of your bank’s **unique financial position and strategy**.

Developing a stress testing framework and process requires **coordination within your bank** as well as **potentially with outside vendors**.
Example Timeline

2016 Stress Testing

1Q16

- Discuss internally who at the bank should be on your stress testing team

2Q16

- Implement/design the stress testing framework/process at your institution
- Perform the stress test & provide quantitative & qualitative support for the results

3Q16

- Complete the stress testing process and share the results with your Board/senior management
- Determine additional areas/tests for consideration in future stress tests

4Q16

- Prepare for 2017 Stress Testing Process:
  - What worked? What didn’t? What can be improved?
- Compare results of 2016 stress test projected performance versus actual
  - Identify ways to further implement stress testing into your strategic planning/value creation process

1Q16

2Q16

3Q16

4Q16
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About Mercer Capital

Mercer Capital is a national business valuation and financial advisory firm. Financial institutions are the cornerstone of Mercer Capital’s practice.

Founded in 1982, in the midst of and in response to a previous crisis affecting the financial services industry, Mercer Capital has witnessed the industry’s cycles. Today, as in 1982, Mercer Capital’s largest industry concentration is financial institutions.

Despite industry cycles, Mercer Capital’s approach has remained the same – understanding key factors driving the industry, identifying the impact of industry trends on our clients, and delivering a reasoned and supported analysis in light of industry and client specific trends. The Financial Institutions Group of Mercer Capital provides a broad range of specialized advisory services to the financial services industry.

The Financial Institutions Group broadly assists:

- Depository institutions
- Insurance companies
- Investment funds
- Private equity, hedge funds, and traditional asset managers
- Specialty finance and real estate investment companies

The unifying element of Mercer Capital’s services for financial institutions is its in-depth industry knowledge, gleaned from 30 years of experience and over 1,000 engagements.
Mercer Capital’s Core Services for Financial Institutions

Advisory Services

• Stress Testing
• Strategic consulting
• Buy-side and sell-side financial advisory services
• Fairness opinions
• Advisory and consultation regarding capital transactions (raising, deploying, and restructuring capital)

Corporate Valuation Services

• Equity transactions (share repurchases, issuances, and conversions)
• Corporate transactions (recapitalizations, divestitures, reorganizations, and the like)
• Employee benefit plans (ESOPs, KSOPs, stock option plans, and restricted stock)
• Tax compliance (income, estate, and gift)
• Buy/sell agreement consulting and the valuation of securities with contractual restrictions on transfer
• Valuation of complex securities (convertibles, options, warrants, and the like)
• Valuation of securities with impaired marketability
• Litigation support

Financial Reporting Services

• Purchase price allocations (ASC 805)
• Stock-based compensation (ASC 718)
• Goodwill impairment (ASC 350)
• Illiquid financial instruments (ASC 820)
• Portfolio investments held by business development companies, private equity firms, and the like