

Value Matters™

The Complimentary Electronic Newsletter for Attorneys and Other Professional Advisors to Business

Volume 2005-03 • April 28, 2005

www.mercercapital.com
mcm@mercercapital.com

What's Fair is Fair?

By Z. Christopher Mercer, ASA, CFA
mercerc@mercercapital.com

Boards of directors have engaged investment banking firms to provide fairness opinions for many years. Questions about the fairness of many fairness opinions have also been raised for many years.

A recent *Dealbook* column in *The New York Times* by Andrew Ross Sorkin ("[Mergers: Fair Should be Fair](#)", Sunday, March 20, 2005) discusses the issue of fairness opinions one more time.

It is often thought that boards obtain fairness opinions in order to assure the fairness of transactions from the viewpoint of their companies' shareholders. However, the real reason that fairness opinions are obtained is to protect boards from lawsuits arising from corporate transactions. This has always been true, but especially since the landmark Delaware case of *Smith v. van Gorkham* was decided in 1985. While this and subsequent cases do not require the use of fairness opinions, they make clear that their use helps assure that boards have exercised their "duty of care" in the exercise of their business judgments.¹

There are numerous nuances to the definition of *fair*, but one or two in particular are instructive (definitions found at Merriam-Webster OnLine):

“**6 a** : marked by impartiality and honesty : free from self-interest, prejudice, or favoritism <a very fair person to do business with> **b** (1) : conforming with the established rules : **allowed** (2) : consonant with merit or importance : **due** <a fair share> **c** : open to legitimate pursuit, attack, or ridicule <fair game>

10 : sufficient but not ample : **adequate** <a fair understanding of the work>”

On the one hand, to be *fair* is to be marked by impartiality and honesty and to be free from self-interest and favoritism. On the other hand, the concept of *fair* does not imply an abundance, but rather a sufficiency.

In some respects, the investing public has been led to believe that if a company obtains a fairness opinion for a transaction, it is offering the best possible deal to its shareholders. *Simply not so.*

¹ There is a several page discussion of fairness opinions in my first book, *Valuing Financial Institutions* (Homewood, IL, Business One Irwin, 1992), including a brief overview of *Smith v. Van Gorkham*. (http://www.mercercapital.com/Images/PDF_Images/VFIFairnessopinion.pdf)

We can begin to understand the public misunderstanding of the meaning of a fairness opinion when we investigate the concept of *fairness*:

“- **fairness** *noun*

synonyms **fair, just, equitable, impartial, unbiased, dispassionate, objective** mean free from favor toward either or any side. **fair** implies an elimination of one's own feelings, prejudices, and desires so as to achieve a proper balance of conflicting interests <a *fair* decision>. **just** implies an exact following of a standard of what is right and proper <a *just* settlement of territorial claims>. **equitable** implies a less rigorous standard than **just** and usually suggests equal treatment of all concerned <the *equitable* distribution of the property>. **impartial** stresses an absence of favor or prejudice <an *impartial* third party>. **Unbiased** implies even more strongly an absence of all prejudice <your *unbiased* opinion>. **dispassionate** suggests freedom from the influence of strong feeling and often implies cool or even cold judgment <a *dispassionate* summation of the facts>. **objective** stresses a tendency to view events or persons as apart from oneself and one's own interest or feelings <I can't be *objective* about my own child>. **synonym** see in addition **beautiful**”

With synonyms like *just, equitable, impartial, unbiased, dispassionate, and objective*, one would think that fairness opinions would exhibit the same qualities. However, go back to the basic meaning of fair, which simply means adequate, or, say, average. Well, the concept of average is a range concept. So a fairness opinion is an opinion regarding the pricing (and process) of a deal that concludes that a deal is fair, within a range. And the range is virtually never specified in a fairness opinion.

This suggests that while one deal may be *fair*, another deal may be *more fair* or *less fair* and still be *fair*. How are investors to know what a fairness opinion means under such circumstances?

The *New York Times* article referenced above noted that the National Association of Securities Dealers (NASD) has just completed a comment period during which it asked the public to make suggestions about how to change the rules for issuing fairness opinions.²

Why? Because in many instances, the very investment bankers who stand to gain the most from completing transactions are the ones opining to their *fairness*. For example, assume that an investment bank has initiated a transaction and, upon its completion, will earn a success fee of \$20 million. The board requires a fairness opinion and the bank offers to provide that opinion for a separate fee of, say, \$500 thousand. Is that deal fair? *You betcha!*

Some investment banks believe they are able to render fairness opinions for one side in a deal when they are providing services to the other side *in the same deal*. This situation arises when a bank represents one side in a deal and provides or arranges financing for the other side. The term used for this type of financing is “staple financing.”

Sometimes, investment banks have taken things to the extreme. For example, when J.P. Morgan Chase acquired Bank One in 2004, J.P. Morgan Chase *wrote its own fairness opinion for the deal!* How objective, dispassionate, impartial, or unbiased was that?

And strangely enough, there are precious few rules requiring that the various actual or perceived conflicts be disclosed to boards of directors or the investing public.

So what should we think about the imprimatur of “fair, from a financial point of view” by investment banks who stand to make far more from other aspects of transactions than from the preparation of fairness opinions?

The obvious conflicts of interest with investment banks opining on their own deals has long been apparent, yet little has changed with the rules for rendering fairness opinions (except for a bit more disclosure in proxy statements) in more than 20 years.

² Information on the request for comments and posted comments can be found on the NASD website (www.nasd.com).

The NASD Notice to Members dated November 2004 summarizes the SEC's proxy rules for fairness opinion disclosure and then notes:

Fairness opinions typically disclose that in preparing the opinion, the investment bank has assumed and relied on the accuracy and completeness of all information made available to the investment bank by the company and the investment bank has not assumed any responsibility to independently verify such information or undertaken an independent appraisal of the assets or liabilities of the company.

Notwithstanding the proxy statement disclosure requirements, NASD is concerned that these disclosures may not sufficiently inform investors about the subjective nature of some opinions and their potential biases.

In addition, the multiplicity of valuation methodologies employed, the sensitivity of results to small changes in the underlying assumptions, and a perceived tendency to make judgment calls that support the company managers' preferred outcome have been the subject of criticism.

NASD is considering whether to propose a new rule that would require members to:

(1) disclose in any fairness opinion appearing in any proxy statement any significant conflicts of interest, including, if applicable, that the member has served as an advisor on the transaction in question, and the nature of compensation that the member will receive upon the successful completion of the transaction; and

(2) require specific procedures that members must follow to identify and disclose potential conflicts of interest in rendering fairness opinions.

On the other hand, doesn't it seem like a good idea that firms offering transactional advice should not provide fairness opinions in the same transactions? Wall Street has resisted change in this area, but will likely survive any new disclosure requirements. After all, the upshot may well be that boards will choose to hire a second investment bank to provide the fairness opinion to eliminate the conflict with the transactional adviser. If one fairness opinion is good, they may hire two investment banks to doubly insure that the deal is average, or, rather, fair.

I specifically recall a 1985 article in *Forbes* addressing fairness opinions because I wrote a letter to the editor which was published in the September 23, 1985 issue:

All's fair in love and...?

SIR: In "Fairness for hire" (*July 29*) you discussed the conflicts faced by investment bankers in rendering fairness opinions. There are numerous business valuation firms that routinely value companies and evaluate transactions from the point of view of various shareholder factions. They do not expect to manage the next underwriting. They get used, for the most part, in "small" transactions. The investment banking community has successfully perpetuated the concept that only an investment banking firm can render a fairness opinion in "big" deals. After all, what's fair is fair.

In the ensuing almost twenty years, little has changed, except that some of the business valuation firms have grown in size and capabilities and remain available to provide independent, unbiased, impartial, dispassionate and objective fairness opinions on behalf of boards of directors of private and public companies engaging in substantial transactions needing independent review.

Alphonse Karr's cynical adage could seem appropriate: *Plus ça change, plus c'est la même chose.* ♦

ANNOUNCING MERCER CAPITAL'S E-BOOK LIBRARY

Title	Description	Release Date
Valuing Shareholder Cash Flows: Quantifying Marketability Discounts	<i>Quantifying Marketability Discounts</i> has been updated and is now offered as an e-book. Titled <i>Valuing Shareholder Cash Flows: Quantifying Marketability Discounts - 2005 E-Book</i> , this edition provides a brand new chapter which discusses each of the five assumptions of the QMDM in depth. As a bonus, when you purchase the e-book, you will also receive the <i>QMDM Companion</i> , the latest edition of Quantitative Marketability Discount Model in spreadsheet format. We plan to continually add content to this e-book and as a purchaser, you will receive this added content <i>free-of-charge when it becomes available</i> .	<i>Currently available</i>
Valuing Financial Institutions	We are responding to requests to put this book back into print and we are doing so as an e-book	<i>Currently available</i>
Valuation for Impairment Testing	The first SFAS 142 valuation resource for CFOs and auditors. Also available in printed form from our website at www.mercercapital.com .	<i>Currently available</i>
Are S Corporations Worth More Than C Corporations?	An e-booklet that adds to the S Corp vs. C Corp debate	<i>Currently available</i>

Visit our website at www.mercercapital.com for more information or to download an e-book.

MERCER CAPITAL ON THE ROAD

May 13, 2005

"A Primer on Business Valuation"
Pulaski County Bar Association
Little Rock, Arkansas
Z. Christopher Mercer, ASA, CFA

May 18, 2005

"Understanding Value and Forming Rational Expectations When Selling Your Business or Making an Acquisition"
MSCPA Program for Management Development
Jackson, Mississippi
Timothy R. Lee, ASA and
Brent A. McDade

May 19, 2005

"The Integrated Theory of Business Valuation"
Georgia Society of CPAs
Atlanta, Georgia
Z. Christopher Mercer, ASA, CFA

May 20, 2005

"Business Succession and Exit Strategies"
National Association of Personal Finance
Tampa, Florida
Z. Christopher Mercer, ASA, CFA

June 2, 2005

"The Integrated Theory of Business Valuation"
NACVA Business Valuation Conference
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
Z. Christopher Mercer, ASA, CFA

June 22, 2005

"Valuing Intellectual Property & Other Intangible Assets"
Business Valuation Resources Teleconference
Matthew R. Crow, ASA, CFA, moderator

June 9-10, 2005

"Bank Valuation and Value Drivers"
PKF North American Network Financial Institutions Forum Teleconference
Atlanta, Georgia
Andrew K. Gibbs, CFA, CPA and
Z. Christopher Mercer, ASA, CFA

August 2, 2005

"Mergers & Acquisitions: The Transactions Behind the Transaction"
Wichita Program on Appraisal for Ad Valorem
Wichita, Kansas
Travis W. Harms, CFA, CPA/ABV and
Z. Christopher Mercer, ASA, CFA

October 18, 2005

TBA
Financial Executives International,
Memphis Chapter
Memphis, Tennessee
Z. Christopher Mercer, ASA, CFA

The professionals of Mercer Capital have a great deal of experience speaking to industry and professional groups across the nation on topics such as:

- The Integrated Theory of Business Valuation
 - Is Your Business Ready for Sale?
 - Purchase Price Allocation
 - Valuation of Employee Stock Options
- Litigation Support and Expert Testimony
 - Valuation of Privately Held Businesses, Partnerships, or LLCs
 - Financial Institution Valuation
 - ESOP Valuation

To book a Mercer Capital professional as a speaker at your next conference or CLE/CPE meeting, please contact Barbara Walters Price at priceb@mercercapital.com.

MERCER CAPITAL ADVISORS

Currently Offering Companies to Qualified Prospects

Mercer Capital Advisors is the investment banking division of Mercer Capital Management, Inc. and is offering the following to qualified prospects.

[Nationwide Telecommunications Service Provider](#)

specializing in site acquisition, zoning services, construction management and tower management

Mercer Capital Advisors is also offering other profitable companies to qualified prospects but those offerings cannot be disclosed here.

For more information, click on the company to Nicholas J. Heinz at 901.685.2120 or e-mail him at heinz@mercercapital.com

A GENTLE PLUG FOR OUR FIRM

MERCER CAPITAL is a business valuation and investment banking firm serving a national and international clientele. Our reputation for excellence is based on an ability to solve complex financial problems expeditiously. We convert over 20 years of experience, including thousands of assignments, into solutions for the issues of today.

Mercer Capital provides a broad range of independent valuation and financial advisory services, including:

- Dispute Analysis Services and Expert Testimony
- Valuation for Corporate Income Tax Issues
- Purchase Price Allocations
- Goodwill Impairment Testing
- Valuation for Corporate Tax Matters
- Corporate Litigation Support
- Valuation of Employee Options
- Valuation of Intangible Assets
- Fairness Opinions

MERCER CAPITAL ADVISORS is the investment banking division of Mercer Capital and specializes in providing merger and acquisition services to sellers or buyers of private businesses or public companies divesting divisions and subsidiaries. In addition, Mercer Capital Advisors assists clients in industry consolidations, roll ups, and refinancings.

INTERNET COMMERCE: OBTAIN PROPOSALS TO VALUE YOUR BANK, FLP or LLC VIA OUR WEBSITE

The cost (in terms of your time and delays in obtaining proposals) has just gone down. Use one of the PROPOSAL REQUEST FORMS on our website.

Many of your colleagues have already used our PROPOSAL REQUEST FORMS and are impressed by the decrease in transactional overhead and the increased ease in obtaining actionable proposals for their clients. We are pleased to be doing business with them. Try it yourself! Visit our website and provide us with the pertinent information via this form and we'll prepare a proposal and deliver it to you via e-mail, fax or USPS. Complete confidentiality assured.

UNSUBSCRIBE

We will continue to send the newsletter as published at no cost unless you notify us that you wish to be removed from the distribution list. To REMOVE yourself from this list, send an e-mail to: mercero-owner@mercercapital.com and type the words "Unsubscribe – Value Matters" in the subject line (without the quotation marks).



Headquarters:
5860 Ridgeway Center Parkway, Suite 400
Memphis, Tennessee 38120
901.685.2120 • Fax 901.685.2199

Midwest Office:
511 South 5th Street, Suite 206
Louisville, Kentucky 40202
502.585.6340 • Fax 502.585.6345

www.mercercapital.com

DISCLAIMER. This publication does not constitute legal, valuation, tax, or financial consulting advice. It is offered as an information service to our clients and friends. Those interested in specific guidance for legal and accounting matters should seek competent professional advice. Inquiries to discuss specific valuation or corporate finance matters are welcomed. Permission is specifically granted to send copies of this **Value Matters™** to others who might have an interest in its contents. Permission is also granted to quote portions of this newsletter with proper attribution.

Copyright © 2005 by Mercer Capital Management, Inc., all rights reserved. Text, graphics, and HTML code are protected by US and International Copyright Laws, and may not be copied, reprinted, published, translated, hosted, or otherwise distributed by any means without explicit permission.