

JUNE 2021

Bank Watch

ARTICLE

Community Bank Valuation

Financial Performance, Risk, and Growth

In This Issue

Community Bank Valuation Financial Performance, Risk, and Growth	1
Public Market Indicators	7
M&A Market Indicators	8
Regional Public Bank Peer Reports	9
About Mercer Capital	10

Community Bank Valuation

Financial Performance, Risk, and Growth

This article is the first of a five part series, originally published in 2019 and 2020, that focuses on the two issues most central to our work at Mercer Capital: *What drives value for a depository institution and how are these drivers distilled into a value for a given depository institution?*

We leave the more technical valuation discussion to the other topics in this series (see page 6 for links to other articles in this series). At its core, though, value is a function of a specified financial metric or metrics, growth, and risk.

Financial Metrics

Many industries have a valuation benchmark used by industry participants, although this metric does not necessarily cohere with benchmarks used by investors. In the banking industry, “book value” fills this role. In fact, there are several potential measures of book value, including:

- » Stated shareholders' equity, as indicated in the institution's financial statements
- » Tangible book value, which deducts purchase accounting intangible assets from stated shareholders' equity
- » Tier 1 common equity, which is a regulatory capital measure that is less commonly used as a valuation metric

The most commonly used book value metric is tangible book value (or TBV). Like most industry benchmarks, simplicity and commonality are reasons industry participants embrace TBV as a valuation metric. Strengths of TBV as a valuation metric include:

- » It is reported frequently and comparable from institution to institution.
- » TBV is subject to less pronounced volatility than net income; thus, valuation multiples computed using TBV may be less prone to exaggeration when, for example, earnings are temporarily depressed.
- » TBV can be used to capture the mean reversion tendencies of return on equity (ROE). For example, consider an institution with an ROE exceeding its peer group. Over time, as competitors understand and replicate its business model, these excess returns may diminish. An analyst could use TBV multiples to model potential mean reversion in ROE, which is more difficult to capture using a current period price/earnings multiple.

While TBV has its place, investors focus primarily on an institution's earnings and the growth therein. This earnings orientation occurs because investors are forward looking, and TBV inherently is a backward-looking measure representing the sum of an institution's common stock issuances, net income, dividends, and share redemptions since its inception.

In addition to being forward-looking, investors also appreciate that earnings ultimately are the source of returns to shareholders. With earnings, the institution can do any of (or a combination of) the following:¹

- » Reinvest (i.e., retain earnings), with the goal of generating higher future earnings
- » Pay dividends to shareholders
- » Repurchase stock, which supports the per share value by reducing the outstanding shares
- » Acquire other companies. Because goodwill and intangible assets are deducted when computing regulatory capital, earnings offset the TBV dilution created in these transactions

More bluntly, investors like growing earnings and cash returns (dividends or share repurchases), which are difficult to provide without a sustainable base of strong earnings. Investors will tolerate some near-term drag on earnings from expansion or risk mitigation strategies, but their patience is not limitless.

In many industries, earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization (EBITDA) or a similar metric is the preferred earnings measure. However, banks derive most of their revenues from interest spreads, and EBITDA is an inappropriate metric. Instead, bank investors focus on net income and earnings per share. When credit quality is distressed, investors may consider earnings metrics calculated before the loan loss provision, such as pre-tax, pre-provision operating income (PPOI).


While earnings-based analyses generally should have valuation primacy in our opinion, TBV multiples nevertheless serves as an important test of reasonableness for a valuation analysis. It would be foolhardy to develop a valuation for a depository institution without calculating the TBV multiple implied by the concluded value. Analysts should be able to reconcile implied TBV multiples to public market or M&A market benchmarks and explain any significant discrepancies.

Occasionally, analysts cite balance sheet-based metrics beyond TBV, some of which have more analytical relevance than others. The most useful is a multiple of “core” deposits, a definition of deposits that excludes larger deposits and deposits obtained from wholesale funding markets. Core deposits are time consuming and costly to gather; thus, a multiple of core deposits aligns a bank’s value with its most attractive funding source. A less useful multiple is value as a percentage of total assets, the use of which would implicitly encourage management to stockpile assets without regard to their incremental profitability.

Growth

Investors like growth and accelerating growth even more. Without demonstrating the mathematics, higher expected growth rates produce higher valuation multiples. Further, price/earnings multiples expand at an increasing rate as growth rates increase, as indicated in the following chart. The opposite is true, too, as slowing growth reduces the price/earnings multiple.

Price/Earnings Multiples at Various Growth Rates



Banks report innumerable metrics to directors and investors, but what are the most relevant growth indicia to investors? Usually, investors focus on growth in the following:

- » Balance sheet components like loans and deposits, which ultimately drive revenue growth
- » Asset quality and capital adequacy
- » Pre-tax, pre-provision operating income, which smooths earnings fluctuations caused by periodic volatility in provisions for loan losses
- » Net income per share
- » Dividends per share
- » Tangible book value per share

Valuation is inherently forward-looking, and historical growth rates are useful mostly as potential predictors of future growth. Further, most investors understand that there is some tradeoff between earnings today and investing for higher earnings in the future. While some near-term pressure on earnings from an expansion strategy is acceptable, strategic investments should not continually be used to explain below average profitability. After all, a bank's competitors likely are reinvesting as well for the future.

How does growth affect value? As a thought experiment, consider a bank with no expected growth in earnings and a 100% dividend payout ratio. Should this bank's common equity value increase? In this admittedly extreme scenario, the answer is no. This bank's common equity resembles a preferred stock investment, with a shareholder's return generated by dividends. That is, for value to grow, one (or preferably more) of the preceding factors must increase.

Should a bank prioritize growth in earnings per share, dividends per share, or another metric? The answer likely depends on the bank's shareholder base. In public markets, investors tend to be more focused on earnings per share growth. If an in-

vestor desires income, he or she can sell shares in the public market. For privately held banks, though, investors often are keenly aware of dividend payments and emphasize the income potential of the investment. Of course, sustaining higher dividend payments requires earnings growth.

Growth creates a virtuous cycle – retained earnings lead to higher future net income, allowing for future higher dividends or additional reinvestment, and so the cycle continues. One important caveat exists, though. This virtuous cycle presumes that the retained earnings from a given year are invested in new opportunities yielding the same return on equity as the existing operations. If reinvestment occurs in lower ROE opportunities – such as liquid assets supported by excess capital beyond the level needed to operate the bank safely – then growth in value may be diminished.

This discussion of growth segues into the third key valuation factor, risk.

Risk

More than most industries, risk management is an overarching responsibility of management and the board of directors and a crucial element to long-term shareholder returns. Banks encounter the following forms of risk:

- » Credit risk, or the risk that the bank's investments in loans and other assets may not be repaid in full or on a timely basis
- » Liquidity risk, or the risk that arises from transforming liabilities that are due on demand (deposits) into illiquid assets (loans)
- » Interest rate risk, or the risk attributable to assets and liabilities with mismatched pricing structures or durations
- » Operational risk, such as from malevolent actors like computer hackers

While growth rates are observable from reported financial metrics, the risk assumed to achieve that growth often is more difficult to discern – at least in the near-term. Risk can accumulate, layer upon layer, for years until a triggering event happens, such as an economic downturn. Risk also is asymmetric in the sense that a strategy creating incremental risk, such as a new lending product, can be implemented quickly, but exiting the problems resulting from that strategy may take years.

From a valuation standpoint, investors seek the highest return for the least risk. Given two banks with identical growth prospects, investors would assign a higher price/earnings multiple to the bank with the lower risk profile. Indicia of risk include:

- » The launch of new products or business lines
- » Expansion into new geographic markets
- » Higher than average loan yields coupled with lower than average loan losses


None of the preceding factors necessarily imply higher risk vis-à-vis other banks; the key is risk management, not risk avoidance. However, if an investor believes risk is rising for any reason, then that expectation can manifest in our three pronged valuation framework as follows:

1. **Financial Metric.** The investor may view a bank's current earnings as unsustainable once the risk associated with a business strategy becomes evident, leading to reduced expectations of future profitability.
2. **Growth.** An investor may assess that a bank's growth rates are exaggerated by accepting too much risk in pursuing growth. In this event, earnings growth expectations would be tempered as the bank realigns its growth, risk, and return objectives.
3. **Risk.** Valuation multiples are inversely related to risk. By increasing the investor's required return, the investor increases his or her margin of safety in the event of unfavorable financial developments.

Recent Representative Transactions


Mercer Capital rendered fairness opinions on behalf of Simmons First National Corporation related to the announcement that it has entered into agreements to acquire Landmark Community Bank and Triumph Bancshares Inc.

[Learn More >>](#)



Simmons Bank
Pine Bluff, Arkansas


has entered into an
agreement to acquire



Landmark Community Bank
Collierville, Tennessee


Mercer Capital rendered a
fairness opinion on behalf
of Simmons First
National Corporation

— June 2021 —



Simmons Bank
Pine Bluff, Arkansas

has entered into an
agreement to acquire



Triumph Bancshares, Inc.
Memphis, Tennessee

Mercer Capital rendered a
fairness opinion on behalf
of Simmons First
National Corporation

— June 2021 —

An old adage is that risk can be quantified and uncertainty cannot. This observation explains why stock prices and pricing multiples can be particularly volatile for banks in periods of economic uncertainty or distress. If investors cannot quantify a bank's downside exposure, which often is more attributable to general economic anxieties than the quality of the bank's financial disclosures, then they tend to react by taking a pessimistic stance. As a result, risk premiums can widen dramatically, leading to lower multiples.

Conclusion

This article provides an overview of the three key factors underlying bank stock valuations – financial performance, risk, and growth. While these three factors are universal to valuations, we caution that the examples, guidance, and observations in this article may not apply to every depository institution.

At Mercer Capital, valuations of clients' securities are more than a mere quantitative exercise. Integrating a bank's growth prospects and risk characteristics into a valuation analysis requires understanding the bank's history, business plans, market opportunities, response to emerging technological issues, staff experience, and the like. These important influences on a valuation analysis cannot be gleaned solely from reviewing a bank's Call Report.

¹ In theory, a bank could accomplish the preceding without earnings, but eventually that well (i.e., the bank's TBV) will run dry

Andrew K. Gibbs, CFA, CPA/ABV
gibbsa@mercercapital.com | 901.322.9726

To read the entire "Community Bank Valuation" series, see the links on the following page.

WHAT WE'RE READING

OTC Markets has created new **Disclosure Guidelines** for Bank Reporting Companies to include all the information required under **amended Rule 15c2-11**. All non-SEC reporting banks currently traded on the Pink Market must submit an application by June 30 to continue to trade on the OTC Markets.

As people have returned to restaurants, stores, and hotels, an **estimated 31% of office workers** have returned to workspaces they occupied before COVID-19.

The American Bankers Association recently published their **annual Farm BankPerformance Report**.

ARTICLE SERIES

Community Bank Valuation

This series focuses on the two issues most central to our work at Mercer Capital: *What drives value for a depository institution* and *how are these drivers distilled into a value for a given depository institution?*

READ

Community Bank Valuation (Part 1): **Financial Performance, Risk, and Growth**

This article launches the series by addressing two foundational questions: *What drives value for a depository institution* and *how are these drivers distilled into a value for a given depository institution?*

READ

Community Bank Valuation (Part 2): **Key Considerations in Analyzing the Financial Statements of a Bank**

In this article we pivot to the analysis of bank financial statements and performance. Unlike many privately held, less regulated companies, banks produce reams of financial reports covering every minutia of their operations.

READ

Community Bank Valuation (Part 3): **Important Relationships Between a Bank and Its Holding Company**

Part 3 of the “Community Bank Valuation” series explores important relationships between banks and their holding companies, focusing particularly on cash flow and leverage.

READ

Community Bank Valuation (Part 4): **Valuing Minority Interests**


This edition of the series focuses on the valuation of minority interests in banks, which do not provide the ability to dictate control over the bank’s operations.

READ

Community Bank Valuation (Part 5): **Valuing Controlling Interests**

To close our series on community bank valuation, we focus on concepts that arise when evaluating a controlling interest in another bank, such as arises in an acquisition scenario.


Mercer Capital's Bank Group Index Overview



Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence

Return Stratification of U.S. Banks

by Asset Size



Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence


Regional Index Data

	Total Return				Regional Index Data as of June 21, 2021					
	Month-to-Date	Quarter-to-Date	Year-to-Date	Last 12 Months	Price/LTM EPS	Price / 2021 (E) EPS	Price / 2022 (E) EPS	Price / Book Value	Price / Tangible Book Value	Dividend Yield
Atlantic Coast Index	0.4%	10.0%	38.4%	69.2%	12.4x	12.0x	12.9x	112%	124%	2.4%
Midwest Index	-3.4%	1.4%	15.5%	32.4%	10.4x	10.1x	11.0x	103%	124%	2.4%
Northeast Index	-2.1%	5.3%	24.2%	48.6%	10.7x	9.5x	10.1x	105%	118%	2.9%
Southeast Index	-1.9%	10.0%	24.6%	36.9%	12.4x	9.9x	10.9x	114%	122%	2.3%
West Index	-4.4%	2.7%	29.4%	57.3%	11.6x	10.6x	12.2x	115%	125%	2.5%
Community Bank Index	-2.2%	5.4%	25.6%	47.9%	11.3x	10.3x	11.1x	109%	122%	2.5%
SNL Bank Index	-8.2%	1.9%	25.8%	58.8%						

Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence

Median Price/Earnings Multiples


Target Banks' Assets <\$5B and LTM ROE >5%



Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence

Median Price/Tangible Book Value Multiples


Target Banks' Assets <\$5B and LTM ROE >5%



Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence

Median Core Deposit Multiples

Target Banks' Assets <\$5B and LTM ROE >5%



Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence

Median Valuation Multiples for M&A Deals


Target Banks' Assets <\$5B and LTM ROE >5%, 12 months ended June 25, 2021

Regions	Price / LTM Earnings	Price/ Tang. BV	Price / Core Dep Premium	No. of Deals	Median Deal Value (\$M)	Target's Median Assets (\$000)	Target's Median LTM ROAE
Atlantic Coast	17.2x	180%	10.4%	10	129.2	796,285	9.7%
Midwest	17.7x	154%	7.3%	39	109.2	196,820	8.6%
Northeast	14.0x	140%	10.4%	2	62.8	517,018	10.5%
Southeast	16.3x	146%	8.1%	19	84.0	289,020	9.8%
West	14.7x	162%	6.9%	12	157.4	1,078,723	10.6%
National Community Banks	15.8x	153%	7.3%	82	108.4	280,020	9.6%


Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence

Mercer Capital's Regional Public Bank Peer Reports


Updated weekly, Mercer Capital's Regional Public Bank Peer Reports offer a closer look at the market pricing and performance of publicly traded banks in the states of five U.S. regions. Click on the map to view the reports from the representative region.




Atlantic Coast




Midwest



Northeast



Southeast



West

MERCER CAPITAL

Depository Institutions Services

Mercer Capital assists banks, thrifts, and credit unions with significant corporate valuation requirements, transaction advisory services, and other strategic decisions.

Mercer Capital pairs analytical rigor with industry knowledge to deliver unique insight into issues facing banks. These insights underpin the valuation analyses that are at the heart of Mercer Capital's services to depository institutions.

- | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| » Bank valuation | » Litigation support | » Tax compliance |
| » Financial reporting for banks | » Stress Testing | » Transaction advisory |
| » Goodwill impairment | » Loan portfolio valuation | » Strategic planning |

Depository Institutions Team



Jeff K. Davis, CFA
615.345.0350
jeffdavis@mercercapital.com



Andrew K. Gibbs, CFA, CPA/ABV
901.322.9726
gibbsa@mercercapital.com



Jay D. Wilson, Jr., CFA, ASA, CBA
469.778.5860
wilsonj@mercercapital.com



Eden G. Stanton, CFA, ASA
901.270.7250
stantone@mercercapital.com




Mary Grace Arehart, CFA
901.322.9720
arehartm@mercercapital.com



Brian F. Adams, CFA
901.322.9706
adamsb@mercercapital.com



William C. Tobermann, CFA
901.322.9783
tobermannw@mercercapital.com



Heath A. Hamby
615.457.8723
hambyh@mercercapital.com



Mary Jane McCaghren
901.322.9780
mccaghrenm@mercercapital.com

www.mercercapital.com



Mercer Capital

www.mercercapital.com

